Serial No. 756,025

claimed as being pharmaceutically acceptable with examples of such disclosed at specification page 6, top 5 lines.

Claims 43, 45, 47, 49, 51 and 53 are limited to dichlorides of the specific Examples 1, 2, 3 and 4.

It is believed that the 15 claims while more than 10 are not so excessive since they track the exemplification and thus should be permitted. If this is still a problem, it is requested that the Examiner telephone applicant's counsel.

It is noted in the declarations filed by the inventors that the foreign filing license No. 466750 referred to in the declaration was granted in fact on July 11, 1984 by the United States Patent and Trademark Office rather than July 11, 1985 as inadvertently indicated in the declaration.

Applicants enclose a copy of Patent Office Form PTO 1449 as indicated by the Examiner as being needed to cite the art already submitted by applicants.

The references were mentioned by the applicants since they relate generally to muscle relaxants and thus show the state of the art in the field of this invention or that they were cited by the European Patent Office or the U.S.S.R. Patent Office.

Also enclosed is a New Zealand office action received by counsel on October 14, 1987. A copy of the claims in New Zealand is enclosed herewith to show claim 27 and 28.

It is noted that the Examiner has applied Taylor and

Serial No. 756,025

Stenlake cited by applicants on January 22, 1986.

On October 21, 1987, applicants' counsel conferred with Examiner Turnipseed as to whether the multiple dependent claims as drafted were proper. Applicant's counsel asked Examiner Turnipseed to telephone if there was a problem with the claim language.

Respectfully submitted,

DIKE, BRONSTEIN, ROBERTS,

CUSHMAN & PFUND

Date: October 22, 1987

Donald Brown (Reg. No. 20845)

Attorney for Applicant(s)

130 Water Street Boston, MA 02109 (617) 542-8492